

Subject: NMCI Contract Requirement - Government Furnished Facilities (GFF)

From: Brunhart, Andrew CAPT (NAVFACHQ)
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2000 6:43 PM
To: NAVFACHQ EFD_COs; NAVFACHQ EFA_COs; NAVFACHQ PWC_COs
Cc: Ayars, Arthur D CAPT (NAVFACHQ); Cali, Robert T (NAVFACHQ); Boothe, Thomas M CAPT (NAVFACHQ); Loose, Michael K RADM (NAVFACHQ); Mason, Charles M CAPT (NAVFACHQ)
Subject: NMCI Contract Requirement - Government Furnished Facilities (GFF)
Sensitivity: Private

This duplicates, in small part, an email I just sent to our Regional Engineers. Also, if NMCI GFF doesn't apply in the area you serve, forgive my using the collective addressees to expedite getting this just-breaking word out.

For those that may not know, where NMCI GFF are needed, the Government is required to provide a certain level of facility, and then, the contractor has additional work to operate in the space. The question was/is, is the MCON threshold calculated taking into consideration the contractor's effort in the facility? Here is the answer, not eloquent...but fast so you have it...

If construction is required on the part of the Government to get a facility to the minimum state (Government Furnished Facility state discussed within the NMCI contract), we of course need to follow the MCON thresholds/rules (hopefully MCON is the exception in this effort). **Here is the IMPORTANT DECISION made among the DC level DON legal community including OASN(I&E): Any additional work the contractor has to do in a facility the Government provides to fulfill the contract is treated alike to a GO-CO facility - this means that the contractor's effort, paid for by the NMCI contract, is Not repeat Not considered construction in the MCON sense and thus should not be included in computing whether you have a MCON case/level project.**

Thought you would like to know.

VR/ADB